

<http://poloclub.gatech.edu/cse6242>

CSE6242 / CX4242: **Data** & **Visual** Analytics

Scaling Up

Pig

Duen Horng (Polo) Chau

Assistant Professor

Associate Director, MS Analytics

Georgia Tech

Partly based on materials by

Professors Guy Lebanon, Jeffrey Heer, John Stasko, Christos Faloutsos, Parishit Ram (GT PhD alum; SkyTree), Alex Gray

Pig



<http://pig.apache.org>

High-level language

- instead of writing low-level map and reduce functions

Easier to **program, understand and maintain**

Created at Yahoo!

Produces sequences of Map-Reduce programs

(Lets you do “joins” much more easily)

Pig



<http://pig.apache.org>

Your **data analysis task** becomes a **data flow** sequence (i.e., **data transformations**)

Input ➔ **data flow** ➔ **output**

You specify **data flow** in **Pig Latin** (Pig's language). Then, Pig turns the data flow into a sequence of MapReduce jobs automatically!

Pig: 1st Benefit

Write only a **few lines** of Pig Latin

Typically, MapReduce development cycle is long

- Write mappers and reducers
- Compile code
- Submit jobs
- ...

Pig: 2nd Benefit

Pig can perform a **sample run** on representative subset of your input data automatically!

Helps debug your code in smaller scale (**much faster!**), before applying on full data

What Pig is good for?

Batch processing

- Since it's built on top of MapReduce
- Not for random query/read/write

May be **slower** than MapReduce programs coded from scratch

- You trade **ease of use + coding time** for some **execution speed**

How to run Pig

Pig is a client-side application
(run on your computer)

Nothing to install on Hadoop cluster

How to run Pig: 2 modes

Local Mode

- Run on your computer (e.g., laptop)
- Great for trying out Pig on small datasets

MapReduce Mode

- Pig translates your commands into MapReduce jobs
- Remember you can have a **single-machine cluster** set up on your computer

Difference between PIG local and mapreduce mode: <http://stackoverflow.com/questions/11669394/difference-between-pig-local-and-mapreduce-mode>

Pig program: 3 ways to write

Script

Grunt (interactive shell)

- Great for **debugging**

Embedded (into Java program)

- Use PigServer class (like JDBC for SQL)
- Use PigRunner to access Grunt

Grunt (interactive shell)

Provides **code completion**

Press **Tab** key to complete Pig Latin keywords and functions

Let's see an example Pig program run with Grunt

- Find highest temperature by year

Example Pig program

Find highest temperature by year

```
records = LOAD 'input/ ncdc/ micro-tab/ sample.txt'  
  AS (year:chararray, temperature:int, quality:int);
```

```
filtered_records =  
  FILTER records BY temperature != 9999  
  AND (quality == 0 OR quality == 1 OR  
    quality == 4 OR quality == 5 OR  
    quality == 9);
```

```
grouped_records = GROUP filtered_records BY year;
```

```
max_temp = FOREACH grouped_records GENERATE  
  group, MAX(filtered_records.temperature);
```

```
DUMP max_temp;
```

Example Pig program

Find highest temperature by year

```
grunt>  
records = LOAD 'input/ncdc/micro-tab/sample.txt'  
  AS (year:chararray, temperature:int, quality:int);
```

```
grunt> DUMP records;
```

```
(1950,0,1)  
(1950,22,1)  
(1950,-11,1)  
(1949,111,1)  
(1949,78,1)
```

called a "tuple"



```
grunt> DESCRIBE records;
```

```
records: {year: chararray, temperature: int, quality: int}
```

Example Pig program

Find highest temperature by year

```
grunt>
filtered_records =
  FILTER records BY temperature != 9999
  AND (quality == 0 OR quality == 1 OR
        quality == 4 OR quality == 5 OR
        quality == 9);
```

```
grunt> DUMP filtered_records;
```

```
(1950,0,1)
(1950,22,1)
(1950,-11,1)
(1949,111,1)
(1949,78,1)
```

In this example, no tuple is filtered out

Example Pig program

Find highest temperature by year

```
grunt> grouped_records = GROUP filtered_records BY year;
```

```
grunt> DUMP grouped_records;
```

```
(1949, {(1949, 111, 1), (1949, 78, 1)})  
(1950, {(1950, 0, 1), (1950, 22, 1), (1950, -11, 1)})
```

← called a “**bag**”
= unordered collection of tuples

```
grunt> DESCRIBE grouped_records;
```

alias that Pig created

```
grouped_records: {group: chararray,  
filtered_records: {year: chararray, temperature:  
int, quality: int}}
```

Example Pig program

Find highest temperature by year

```
(1949, {(1949, 111, 1), (1949, 78, 1)})  
(1950, {(1950, 0, 1), (1950, 22, 1), (1950, -11, 1)})
```

```
grouped_records: {group: chararray, filtered_records: {year:  
chararray, temperature: int, quality: int}}
```

```
grunt> max_temp = FOREACH grouped_records GENERATE  
    group, MAX(filtered_records.temperature);
```

```
grunt> DUMP max_temp;
```

```
(1949, 111)  
(1950, 22)
```

Run Pig program on a subset of your data

You saw an example run on a tiny dataset

How to do that for a larger dataset?

- Use the **ILLUSTRATE** command to generate sample dataset

Run Pig program on a subset of your data

```
grunt> ILLUSTRATE max_temp;
```

```
-----  
| records      | year:chararray      | temperature:int      | quality:int      |  
-----  
|              | 1949                | 78                   | 1                |  
|              | 1949                | 111                  | 1                |  
|              | 1949                | 9999                 | 1                |  
-----  
-----  
| filtered_records | year:chararray      | temperature:int      | quality:int      |  
-----  
|              | 1949                | 78                   | 1                |  
|              | 1949                | 111                  | 1                |  
-----  
-----  
| grouped_records | group:chararray     | filtered_records:bag{:tuple(year:chararray, |  
|              | 1949                | {(1949, 78, 1), (1949, 111, 1)}          |  
-----  
-----  
| max_temp      | group:chararray     | :int                |  
-----  
|              | 1949                | 111                  |  
-----
```

How does Pig compare to SQL?

SQL: “fixed” schema

PIG: loosely defined schema, as in

```
records = LOAD 'input/ncdc/micro-tab/sample.txt'  
         AS (year:chararray, temperature:int, quality:int);
```

How does Pig compare to SQL?

SQL: supports fast, random access

(e.g., <10ms, but of course depends on hardware, data size, and query complexity too)

PIG: batch processing

Pig vs SQL

1. Pig Latin is **procedural**, where SQL is **declarative**.
2. Pig Latin allows pipeline **developers to decide where to checkpoint data** in the pipeline.
3. Pig Latin allows the developer to select specific operator implementations directly **rather than relying on the optimizer**.
4. Pig Latin supports **splits** in the pipeline.
5. Pig Latin allows developers to **insert their own code** almost anywhere in the data pipeline.

Much more to learn about Pig

Relational Operators, Diagnostic Operators (e.g., describe, explain, illustrate), utility commands (cat, cd, kill, exec), etc.

Table 11-1. Pig Latin relational operators

Category	Operator	Description
Loading and storing	LOAD	Loads data from the filesystem or other storage into a relation
	STORE	Saves a relation to the filesystem or other storage
	DUMP	Prints a relation to the console
Filtering	FILTER	Removes unwanted rows from a relation
	DISTINCT	Removes duplicate rows from a relation
	FOREACH...GENERATE	Adds or removes fields from a relation
	MAPREDUCE	Runs a MapReduce job using a relation as input
	STREAM	Transforms a relation using an external program
	SAMPLE	Selects a random sample of a relation
Grouping and joining	JOIN	Joins two or more relations
	COGROUP	Groups the data in two or more relations
	GROUP	Groups the data in a single relation
	CROSS	Creates the cross-product of two or more relations
Sorting	ORDER	Sorts a relation by one or more fields
	LIMIT	Limits the size of a relation to a maximum number of tuples
Combining and splitting	UNION	Combines two or more relations into one
	SPLIT	Splits a relation into two or more relations